New Devices for Retrieving and Broadcasting Quantum Information

QUIT group at Pavia University Giacomo Mauro D'Ariano



Quantum Mechanics and Quantum Information_ Lecce, 6 May 2005



Index

- Super-broadcasting
- Efficiently universally programmable measuring apparatuses
- Optimal transmission of reference frames
- Quantum calibration of measuring apparatuses
- Optimal phase estimation for mixed states





Perinotti

Macchiavello

G. M. D'Ariano, C. Macchiavello, and P. Perinotti, Superbroadcasting of mixed states, Phys. Rev. Lett. (submitted)

Superbroadcasting N inputs $\Rightarrow M$ outputs



- For pure states ideal broadcasting coincides with the *quantum cloning*.
- For mixed states there are infinitely many joint states that correspond to the same local state.

- For mixed input states the *no-cloning theorem* is not logically sufficient to forbid ideal broadcasting
- The *impossibility of ideal broadcasting* has been proved in the case of <u>one input</u> copy and <u>two output</u> copies for *non mutually commuting density operators* [H. Barnum, C. M. Caves, C. A. Fuchs, R. Jozsa, and B. Schumacher, Phys. Rev. Lett. **76** 2818 (1996)]



Is this a generalization of the no-cloning theorem to mixed states?

The answer is no!

- We have shown that the no broadcasting theorem does not generalize to more than three input copies!
- For N≥4 input copies it is even possible to purify the state while broadcasting!
- broadcasting + cloning "superbraodcasting".





shrinking/stretching factor

 $p(r) = r'_{opt}(r)/r$



FIG. 2: The stretching factor p(r) versus r. On the left: for M = N + 1 and N = 10, 20, 30, 40, 50, 60, 70, 80, 90, 100(from the bottom to the top. On the right: for N = 5 and $5 \le M \le 9$ (from the top to the bottom).





shrinking/stretching factor

$$p(r) = r'_{opt}(r)/r$$

 $r_*(N,M)$ maximum purity for purification



FIG. 3: Logarithmic plot of $1 - r_*(N, N + 1)$ versus N. $r_*(N, M)$ denotes the maximum purity for which one can have superbroadcasting from N to M copies.

- For pure states the optimal superbroadcasting map is the same as the optimal universal cloning [R. F. Werner, Phys. Rev. A **58** 1827 (1998)].
- For *M*<*N* it corresponds to the optimal purification map [J. I. Cirac, A. K. Ekert, and C. Macchiavello, Phys. Rev. Lett. **82** 4344 (1999)].
- Therefore, the superbroadcasting map generalizes and *interpolates optimal purification and optimal cloning*.

- Superbroadcasting doesn't mean more available information about the original input state.
- This is due to *detrimental correlations between the broadcast copies*, which does not allow to exploit their statistics [this phenomenon was already noticed by M. Keyl and R. F. Werner, Ann. H. Poincaré 2 1 (2001)].
- From the *point of view of each single user* our broadcasting protocol is a purification in all respects (for states sufficiently mixed). The process transfers noise from the local states to the correlations between them.

Programmable detectors



Perinotti

G. M. D'Ariano, and P. Perinotti, Efficient Universal Programmable Quantum Measurements, Phys. Rev. Lett. **94** 090401 (2005)





 $\mathbf{Z} \doteq \{Z_1, Z_2, \dots, Z_N\}, \ \mathbf{P} \doteq \{P_1, P_2, \dots, P_N\}$

 $\mathcal{M}_{\mathbf{Z},\sigma} \doteq \mathrm{Tr}_2[(I \otimes \sigma)\mathbf{Z}] = \mathbf{P}$ $\mathcal{P}_{\mathbf{Z}} \doteq \mathcal{M}_{\mathbf{Z},\mathcal{A}}$

 $\mathcal{M}_{\mathbf{Z},\sigma} \doteq \operatorname{Tr}_2[(I \otimes \sigma)\mathbf{Z}] = \mathbf{P}$

 $\mathcal{P}_{\mathbf{Z}} \doteq \mathcal{M}_{\mathbf{Z},\mathcal{A}}$





No go theorem

It is impossible to program all observables with a single **Z** and a finite-dimensional ancilla

 $\mathcal{M}_{\mathbf{Z},\sigma} \doteq \operatorname{Tr}_2[(I \otimes \sigma)\mathbf{Z}] = \mathbf{P}$

 $\mathcal{P}_{\mathbf{Z}} \doteq \mathcal{M}_{\mathbf{Z},\mathcal{A}}$



Problem: *The "big* **Z**"

For given $d = \dim(\mathcal{A})$ and $N = |\mathbf{Z}| = |\mathbf{P}|$, find the observables \mathbf{Z} that are the most efficient in programming POVM's, namely which minimize the largest distance of each POVM from the programmable set:

 $\varepsilon(\mathbf{Z}) \doteq \max_{\mathbf{P} \in \mathscr{P}_N} \min_{\mathbf{Q} \in \mathscr{M}_{\mathbf{Z},\mathscr{A}}} \delta(\mathbf{P}, \mathbf{Q})$

$\mathcal{M}_{\mathbf{Z},\sigma} \doteq \operatorname{Tr}_2[(I \otimes \sigma)\mathbf{Z}] = \mathbf{P}$

 $\mathcal{P}_{\mathbf{Z}} \doteq \mathcal{M}_{\mathbf{Z},\mathcal{A}}$



In the literature it was found that $\varepsilon \sim \exp(\kappa \dim(\mathscr{A}))$

We found that polynomial (and even linear!) precision is achievable

programmability with accuracy ε^{-1} :

$$\varepsilon \doteq \max_{\mathbf{P} \in \mathscr{P}_N} \min_{\mathbf{Q} \in \mathscr{P}_{\mathbf{Z}}} \delta(\mathbf{P}, \mathbf{Q})$$

$$\delta(\mathbf{P}, \mathbf{Q}) = \max_{\rho} \sum_{i} |\operatorname{Tr}[\rho(P_i - Q_i)]|$$

Using a joint observable \mathbf{Z} of the form

$$Z_{i} = U^{\dagger}(|\psi_{i}\rangle\langle\psi_{i}|\otimes I_{A})U, \qquad U = \sum_{k=1}^{\dim(\mathcal{A})} W_{k}\otimes|\phi_{k}\rangle\langle\phi_{k}|$$

with $\{\psi_i\}$ and $\{\phi_k\}$ orthonormal sets and W_k unitary, we can program observables with accuracy ε^{-1} using an ancilla with **polynomial** growth

$$\dim(\mathcal{A}) \leqslant \kappa(N) \left(\frac{1}{\varepsilon}\right)^{N(N-1)}$$

Programmability of POVM's For qubits: *linear growth*!

Program for the observable $\mathbf{P} = \{U_g^{(1/2)} | \pm \frac{1}{2}\rangle\langle\pm\frac{1}{2}|U_g^{(1/2)\dagger}\}$

$$\sigma = U_g^{(j)} |jj\rangle \langle jj| U_g^{(j)\dagger}$$

in dimension dim $(\mathcal{A}) = 2j + 1$, with joint observable $\mathbf{Z} = \{\Pi^{(j \pm \frac{1}{2})}\}$

gives the programmability accuracy

$$\varepsilon = \delta(\mathbf{P}, \mathbf{Q}) = \frac{2}{2j+1}$$
 $\dim(\mathcal{A}) = 2\varepsilon^{-1}$





Chiribella

Perinotti

Sacchi

G. Chiribella, G. M. D'Ariano, P. Perinotti, and M. F. Sacchi, Efficient use of quantum resources for the transmission of a reference frame, Phys. Rev. Lett. **93** 180503 (2004)



- Use N spins that can carry information about the rotation g* that connects the two frames
- Alice prepares N spins in $|A\rangle$
- She sends the spins to Bob who receives

$$|A_{g^*}\rangle = U_{g^*}^{\otimes N}|A\rangle$$

• Bob performs a measurement to infer g_* and rotates his frame by the estimated rotation g

The deviation between estimated and true axes is

$$e(g,g_*) = \sum_{\alpha=x,y,z} |gn^B_\alpha - g_*n^B_\alpha|^2$$

The state and the measurement are chosen in order to minimize the average transmission error

$$\langle e \rangle = \int dg_* \int dg \, p(g|g_*) \, e(g,g_*)$$

The previous literature claimed that equivalent representations are of no use, and the optimal achievable asymptotic sensitivity is $\propto 1/N$

BUT... the use of equivalent irreducible representations dramatically improves the sensitivity up to $\propto 1/N^2$!

Use entanglement with the multiplicity space!

Sensitivity N^{-2} instead of N^{-1}



No need of shared entanglement!



Quantum Calibration



Maccone

Lo Presti

G. M. D'Ariano, P. Lo Presti, and L. Maccone, *Quantum Calibration of Measurement Instrumentation*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **93** 250407 (2004)

Quantum Calibration



$$p_n \rho_n = \mathscr{F}(P_n), \quad P_n = \mathscr{F}^{-1}(p_n \rho_n),$$

 $\mathscr{F}(X) = \operatorname{Tr}_2[(I \otimes X)F]$

- p_n probability of the outcome n,
- ρ_n conditioned state, to be determined by quantum tomography,
- \mathscr{F} associated map of the faithful state F.



Quantum Calibration



Phase estimation with mixed states



Perinotti



Macchiavello

G. M. D'Ariano, C. Macchiavello, and P. Perinotti, Optimal phase estimation for qubit in mixed states, Phys. Rev. Lett. (submitted)

Phase estimation with mixed states

N qubits in the same mixed state

$$R_{\vec{n}} = \rho_{\vec{n}}^{\otimes N}$$

with

$$\rho_{\boldsymbol{n}} = \frac{1}{2}(I + r\boldsymbol{n} \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma})$$

experiencing the same phase shift

$$R_{\vec{n}}(\phi) = U_{\phi}R_{\vec{n}}U_{\phi}^{\dagger} = \left[e^{-i\frac{\phi}{2}\sigma_z}\rho_{\vec{n}}e^{i\frac{\phi}{2}\sigma_z}\right]^{\otimes N}$$

Problem: estimate the phase shift ϕ optimally, minimizing the average cost

$$\langle C \rangle = \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{\mathrm{d}\,\phi}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} C(\phi, \phi') \operatorname{Tr}[U_\phi R_{\vec{n}} U_\phi^{\dagger} P(\mathrm{d}\,\phi')]$$

Phase estimation with mixed states Solution:

 $\langle m+1, j\alpha | R_{\vec{n}} | m, j\alpha \rangle = |\langle m+1, j\alpha | R_{\vec{n}} | m, j\alpha \rangle |e^{i\chi(m+1,m,j\alpha)}$

Since only the elements on the first over-diagonal and under-diagonal are involved, one can choose phases in such a way that

$$\chi(m+1, m, j\alpha) = \theta(m, j\alpha) - \theta(m+1, j\alpha)$$

The optimal POVM is of the covariant form

$$P(\mathbf{d}\,\phi) = U_{\phi} \xi U_{\phi}^{\dagger} \frac{\mathbf{d}\,\phi}{2\pi} \qquad \qquad \xi = \sum_{j,\alpha} |e(j,\alpha)\rangle \langle e(j,\alpha)|$$

with the generalized Susskind-Glogower vector

$$|e(j,\alpha)\rangle = \sum_{m=-j}^{j} e^{i\theta(m,j\alpha)} |m,j,\alpha\rangle$$

Phase estimation with mixed states

The optimal POVM achieves the **Quantum Cramer Rao bound:**

$$\Delta \phi^2 \ge \frac{1}{N} \frac{1}{r^2 \cos^2 \theta} \qquad \begin{array}{c} r: \text{ purity} \\ \theta: \text{ tilt angle} \end{array}$$



FIG. 3: The logarithmic plot of $2N(1 - \langle c \rangle)$ vs r, for N = 16, 18, 20 and $\theta = 0$. The line on the bottom represents the bound given by the Cramer-Rao inequality, namely $1/r^2$

Summary

- Super-broadcasting
- Efficiently universally programmable measuring apparatuses
- Optimal transmission of reference frames
- Quantum calibration of measuring apparatuses
- Optimal phase estimation for mixed states



New Workshop on: QUANTUM MEASUREMENTS AND OPERATIONS FOR CRIPTOGRAPHY AND INFORMATION PRO

credits

¥

N	EWS						
	Masahito Hayashi	Richard Gilin	Horace Yuen	Masanao Ozawa	Hubert de Guise	Ole E. Barndorff- Nielsen	Martin Roetteler
	0			0		E.	1

Copyright 2003 © all rights reserved

There were 8 errors opening the page. For more information, choose Activity from the Window menu.